

Meeting Minutes – Pending Approval

NEVADA STUDENT ALLIANCE (NSA)

AGENDA October 10, 2025 9:00 AM

Via Video-Teleconference

Meeting Link:

<u>https://nshe-nevada-</u>edu.zoom.us/j/98059782429?pwd=sBVK1amyEv5PD7VEMj15Yb6GrBBUqM.1

Meeting ID 980 5978 2429

Passcode 368833

Via Telephone:

Dial 1-669-444-9171, Meeting ID: 980 5978 2429#, Passcode: *368833#

THIS MEETING WILL BE HELD VIA REMOTE TECHNOLOGY SYSTEM (VIDEO-TELECONFERENCE) ONLY PURSUANT TO NRS 241.023. THERE WILL BE NO PHYSICAL LOCATION FOR THE MEETING.

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC MAY HEAR, OBSERVE, AND PARTICIPATE IN THE MEETING VIA THE MEETING LINK OR TELEPHONE NUMBER IDENTIFIED ABOVE.

PUBLIC COMMENT MAY ALSO BE SUBMITTED BY PRERECORDED MESSAGE BEFORE 4:30 P.M. ON THURSDAY, OCTOBER 09, 2025, VIA EMAIL TO <u>ASALISBURY@NSHE.NEVADA.EDU</u> OR VOICEMAIL: (775) 784-3444. MESSAGES RECEIVED BY 4:30 P.M. ON THURSDAY, OCTOBER 09, 2025, WILL BE DISTRIBUTED TO NSA MEMBERS AND INCLUDED IN THE PERMANENT MEETING RECORD; NAMES OF THOSE WHO PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT VIA EMAIL WILL BE ANNOUNCED AT THE MEETING; HOWEVER, MESSAGES WILL NO LONGER BE READ INTO THE RECORD.

MEMBERS:

Present Jennifer Rodriguez Serrano, Great Basin College, SGA

(Chair)

Present Lahana Pearce, Nevada State University, NSSA (Vice Chair)

Present Brianna Mae Malasaga, Truckee Meadows Community College, SGA (Secretary)

Present Carmina Aglubat, University of Nevada, Reno, ASUN

Present Ratchanya Dev Chinnappan, University of Nevada, Reno, GSA

Present | Proxy: Ozzie Tavares Devan Glensor, University of Nevada, Reno, ASUNSOM

Present Sovereign Hone, College of Southern Nevada, ASCSN

Present Devin Lopez, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, GPSA

Present/Tardy | Entered at 9:02 A.M. Kelechi Odunze, University

of Nevada, Las Vegas, CSUN

Present Karla Salas, Western Nevada College, ASWN

Office Vacant, Desert Research Institute, GRAD

GUESTS:

Alejandro Rodriguez, NSHE Gabriella Detrick, ASUN Carrie Parker, NSHE Ashley Salisbury, NSHE

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT THE AGENDA AND PUBLIC MEETING

NOTE: Below is an agenda of all items scheduled to be considered. Notification is hereby provided that items on the agenda may be taken out of the order presented, two or more agenda items may be combined for consideration, and an agenda item may be removed from the agenda or discussion relating to an item on the agenda may be delayed at any time.

The agenda and any supporting material, including materials submitted after the posting of this agenda but before the meeting, may be accessed on the Internet by visiting the NSA page of the NSHE website:

https://nshe.nevada.edu/system-administration/departments/asa/students/nevada-student-alliance/, or by calling Ashley Salisbury in the NSHE Office of Academic and Student Affairs, 2601 Enterprise Road, Reno, NV 89512, at (775) 784-3444, or emailing her at asalisbury@nshe.nevada.edu. Any supporting materials provided to members of the NSA at the meeting will be posted to the NSA website within 24 hours after the conclusion of the meeting.

Reasonable efforts will be made to assist and accommodate physically disabled persons attending the meeting. Please call the Academic & Student Affairs Office in advance at (775) 784-3444 so that arrangements may be made.

Call to Order: 9:00 A.M.

1. ROLL CALL

Roll call of members and any persons serving as a proxy for a member will be taken and any members of the public that are present may be asked to identify themselves verbally so their names may be recorded in the minutes.

2. PUBLIC COMMENT

INFORMATION ONLY

Public comment will be taken during this agenda item. No action may be taken on a matter raised under this item until the matter is included on an agenda as an item on which action may be taken. Comments will be limited to two minutes per person. Persons making comment will be asked to begin by stating their name for the record and to spell their last name. The NSA Chair may elect to allow additional public comment on a specific agenda item when that agenda item is being considered.

Pursuant to NRS 241.023, members of the public may also participate in the meeting by submitting written public comment messages via email to asalisbury@nshe.nevada.edu. Written statements received by 4:30 p.m. on THURSDAY OCTOBER 09, 2025, will be distributed to NSA members and included in the permanent meeting record. Names of those who provide public comment via email will be announced at the meeting; however messages will no longer be read into the record. Members of the public may attend the meeting and provide public comment.

In accordance with Attorney General Opinion No. 00-047 (April 27, 2001), as restated in the Attorney General's Open Meeting Law Manual, the NSA Chair may prohibit comment if the content of that comment is a topic that is not relevant to, or within the authority of, the NSA, or if the content is willfully disruptive of the meeting by being irrelevant, repetitious, slanderous, offensive, inflammatory, irrational or amounting to personal attacks or interfering with the rightsof other speakers.

No public comment.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION

Request is made for the approval of the minutes for the NSA meetings held on <u>September 11, 2025</u> and <u>September 12, 2025</u>.

Motion for approval of the NSA minutes on September 11, 2025, and September 12, 2025 by Odunze.

Second by Pearce.

Vote is taken.

Motion passes unanimously.

4. DISCUSSION WITH THE CHANCELLOR

INFORMATION ONLY

NSA members will meet with Chancellor Matt McNair or his designee. They will discuss aspirations and concerns for the higher education system.

Chancellor McNair expresses his appreciation for the NSA's willingness to reach out and discuss the fee increase with different groups. McNair mentions the success of the Chancellor's listening sessions so far and appreciates the NSA's thoughtfulness in their ability to discuss various topics.

Vice Chair Pearce asks how many institutions McNair has visited.

McNair states that he has visited NSU, UNLV, and CSN.

Vice Chair Pearce asks McNair what he has collected as a whole from students in terms of collective feedback or criticism.

Chancellor McNair states that he has seen similar concerns with registration fees and collective bargaining for graduate students.

Chair Rodriguez-Serrano asks McNair whether he has a formalized process to remember all student feedback.

Chancellor McNair states that he uses his notebook and phone to take notes on student feedback. He adds that he is emphasizing shared governance and its definition.

Vice Chair Pearce asks what McNair and Chair Brooks are doing to ensure the feedback is skillfully utilized and reflected.

Chancellor McNair states that he plans to send out a report based on his listening sessions and hopes to continue hosting them yearly.

Odunze asks Chancellor McNair how he anticipates that tuition will remain predictable for students, especially with inflation rising.

Chancellor McNair states that NSHE has implemented quantitative analysis to aid this issue. He states that NSHE is doing its best to satisfy the legislature, generate revenue, and ultimately avoid cutting programs. McNair states that he is taking precautions to avoid raising fees in the future.

Chinnappan asks if the NSA can discuss the working draft from the ad hoc committee.

Chancellor McNair approves.

Chinnappan asks whether the 4% increase each year will be cumulative, resulting in a 12% increase over 3 years. Chinnappan asks if the total increase across the whole period will be capped at 12%.

Chancellor McNair states that the 4% is not a final recommendation yet and is something in the works with the committee, but the statistics could be potentially correct in that a continuous 4% increase over 3 years would result in a little over a 12% increase.

Chinnappan asks if it will exceed 12% by 2029.

Chancellor McNair states that there's no actual discussion yet, but rather a preliminary discussion.

Tavares shares that, as medical students, many are unsure how to pay these fees. Tavares asks whether there is an NSHE backloan that medical students or students who run out of money can use to pay these fees.

Chancellor McNair states that it is something that NSHE could consider, but he is unsure of where he would get the money for these potential loans. He states that the working group could tailor their recommendations based on consistent communication about this issue.

Chair Rodriguez-Serrano asks if Chancellor McNair is visiting Great Basin College's Elko Campus, asking if there will be a digital medium for the listening session there.

Chancellor McNair confirms that he is visiting the Elko campus. McNair states that he could work with Dr. Donnelli to ensure that students from other campuses could listen in as well.

Secretary Malasaga asks if Chancellor McNair has noticed any specific differences in issues raised by community college students compared to university students.

Chancellor McNair states that access was a common theme among students at community colleges. McNair suggests that Hone share her thoughts, as the College of Southern Nevada had its listening session yesterday.

Hone states that the College of Southern Nevada held its listening session with Chancellor McNair yesterday, and many of the questions asked focused on how NSHE plans to break down fees and funding for the future.

Chancellor McNair adds that fees have been a major topic of discussion across all campuses.

Chair Rodriguez-Serrano asks if there is a time frame for when the fees will be presented to the Board of Regents.

Chancellor McNair states that these fees will be presented during the December meeting.

Chair Rodriguez-Serrano asks if the fees will be for action or for information.

Chancellor McNair answers that any item on fees will be for action during the December meeting.

Odunze asks Chancellor McNair whether he has been speaking with each institution's president about eliminating unnecessary fees that aren't applicable to students.

Chancellor McNair states that he hasn't specifically discussed that topic but has discussed issues of efficiency in budget and funding.

Salas asks Chancellor McNair if there are any ongoing discussions or plans to strengthen safety procedures throughout NSHE.

Chancellor McNair states that the University Police Department has been working with the public safety council on how to spend \$11 million from the legislature for safety. Recommendations include increasing police presence on campuses and limiting access to certain buildings at certain times of day through technology and other means. McNair states that one of the best courses of action is to prepare for things when they happen.

Chair Rodriguez-Serrano thanks Chancellor McNair for his time and concludes the agenda item.

6. NSA PRIORITIES FOR THE 2025-2026 YEAR

INFORMATION ONLY

NSA Chair will facilitate a discussion with NSA members regarding NSA priorities for the 2025-26 academic year. Discussion may include NSA members sharing ideas and perspectives from their respective campuses. The NSA Members may provide suggestions of NSA goals or priorities.

Chair Rodriguez-Serrano states that, based on the NSA's July meeting, the NSA's main priority for the 2025-2026 year is building a student-centered campus. This includes emphasizing safety, inclusivity, and accountability.

7. PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION OF FEE ASSSESSED <u>INFORMATION ONLY</u> ON TUITION AND FEE PAYMENTS MADE BY CREDIT CARD

NSA Chair will facilitate a discussion regarding the June 12-13, 2025, <u>Board of Regents agenda item number 34</u> concerning a proposal for NSHE institutions to implement a fee assessed on tuition and fee payments made by credit card. During the <u>September 11, 2025</u> NSA meeting, the NSA membership discussed this topic and the NSA Chair requested the members take this discussion to their respective campuses and return with feedback and information discovered. NSA Chair will ask members to provide such feedback for the continued discussion.

Chair Rodriguez-Serrano opens the floor to discussion.

Lopez states that both he and Hone are serving on the ad hoc committee on fee increases, and that at the end of the meeting, President Heavey of UNLV stated that there is general apprehension about a credit card fee alongside a tuition increase.

Chair Rodriguez-Serrano states that it is comforting to know that students have support for this viewpoint against the credit card fees.

Pearce emphasizes that NSU NSSA has released a statement on the credit card fee and remains opposed to it due to its inconsistency.

Odunze states that UNLV CSUN also wrote a resolution against the credit card fees, and that CSUN officers read it at the September 11 Board of Regents' meeting.

Lopez adds that, as part of the ad hoc committee's recommendation on tuition, someone suggested targeting the language used within the credit card fee proposal.

Chair Rodriguez-Serrano states that the GBC SGA has reached consensus against these fees and is exploring the process for creating a formal resolution. Chair Rodriguez-Serrano concludes the agenda item.

8. PROPOSED *HANDBOOK* REVISIONS, STUDENT GOVERNMENT FINANCIAL SUPPORT

INFORMATION ONLY

NSA Chair will facilitate a discussion regarding the draft proposed revisions to the Board of Regents *Handbook* (Title 4, Chapter 10, Section 15 and Title 4, Chapter 20, Section B, Section 2) as was presented to NSA at their <u>September 11, 2025</u> meeting. The *Handbook* revisions concern the use of student association fees for financial support of student government association positions. The Chair may ask for any general comments or feedback. (NSA-8)

Chair Rodriguez-Serrano opens the floor to discussion.

Odunze asks whether the proposal would allow individuals within the student government to choose compensation methods, whether through scholarships or payroll.

Deputy General Counsel Parker states that NSHE has worked on this for a year and that the original proposal required any compensation or monetary support to be through a scholarship, but this has since changed based on feedback. Parker adds that many institutions preferred payroll-based compensation. Parker states that this proposed revision allows each institution to choose which form of compensation to offer per position. Parker states that under the Fair Labor Standards Act, there are requirements for determining whether people can qualify as employees.

Odunze states that he does not see how allowing individual students to choose their preferred payment method would conflict with the proposed handbook revisions.

Deputy General Counsel Parker states that, legally, she believes this would not work because an individual's choice of payment form would not matter under the law. Parker states that an employer has a responsibility to pay their employees.

Tavares asks if there would be a difference in taxation between payroll and scholarships, where those receiving payroll would be taxed and scholarships would be tax-free.

Deputy General Counsel Parker states that there could potentially be a difference there, as payroll could be subjected to payroll tax.

Aglubat asks Parker to clarify whether there needs to be consistency in how officers are paid within a student government board.

Deputy General Counsel Parker states that the consistency needs to be applied to each individual position.

Aglubat states that for UNR ASUN, students are allowed to receive their stipends through scholarships, and that these are specific situations in which students are unable to be compensated through payroll.

Deputy General Counsel Parker confirms that this policy revision is for position consistency regardless of the student.

Odunze asks if allowing students an individual choice for their compensation would comply with the federal law.

Deputy General Counsel Parker states that there are risks to doing it this way because students being compensated through payroll are being classified as employees, while students being compensated through scholarships are not.

Lopez shares a concern that the proposal's wording would create additional liabilities for the system, especially regarding student eligibility to participate in student government.

Deputy General Counsel Parker states that this situation may already exist through campuses that are solely operated through payroll. Parker references feedback received that campuses do not want to be directed on how to compensate their students, and this proposal allows them to choose.

Lopez states that he understands the concern last year was opposition to being told a specific way to pay students in student government but asks whether this would regulate how students are paid per position, regardless of their individual needs.

Deputy General Counsel Parker states that this would be a decision for each campus and its student government.

Odunze states that he believes that this proposal isn't allowing students to choose their compensation. Odunze states that UNLV has a large international student body and that many want to become student government officers. Odunze states that if payroll was the sole option, this would remove the ability for international students to serve in these. In addition, if institutions move directly to scholarships, it will impact students' financial aid packages and lead to over-awarding. Odunze states that he does not believe this proposal is fair.

Aglubat echoes Odunze's point in that student government officers have different needs. Aglubat adds that this could create a barrier for international students wanting to serve in student government. Aglubat states that ASUN is not keen on the decision to limit individual choice on compensation.

Chinnappan states that UNR's graduate student bylaws already offer flexibility to choose between payroll and scholarships based on circumstances. Chinnappan states that as an individual student himself, he is already restricted to working up to 20 hours a week, but can also choose to be compensated through a scholarship, which he is doing. Chinnappan asks why this issue is now being elevated, as he has not perceived it as an issue himself.

Deputy General Counsel Parker states that this came to the system level from one of the Regents from public comment. This public comment specifically consisted of a student stating he was ineligible to run for student government as he was ineligible to qualify for payroll.

Chinnappan states that he suggests NSHE include a clause for institutional discretion.

Odunze agrees with Chinnappan and says he doesn't think this proposal fully addresses the original student's problem.

Deputy General Counsel Parker states that this policy does not force every position to be paid a certain way, but rather, each student government can choose how each position is paid. Parker mentions the idea of splitting up payroll and scholarship compensation through different employment functions.

Aglubat states that if the policy goal were to expand payroll options, the proposal would seem to restrict them.

Deputy General Counsel Parker states that a legal analysis was conducted, and that NSHE was at risk for compensating student government officers differently.

Odunze states that this proposal is forcing student governments to go one way, and that more legal liability will occur, as students could potentially not be compensated for their work via scholarship if it is deemed over-awarding.

Deputy General Counsel Parker thanks the NSA for their comments.

Odunze asks what the timeline for this proposal is.

Deputy General Counsel Parker states that the goal is to present this proposal at the December Board of Regents meeting.

Odunze asks if the NSA members' comments will be taken into consideration.

Deputy General Counsel Parker states that she will present the NSA members' comments up the chain but cannot guarantee that it will change the proposal.

Chair Rodriguez-Serrano concludes the agenda item.

9. DISCUSSION ON PROPOSED TUITION AND FEE INCREASE INFORMATION ONLY

At the members' request, NSA Chair will facilitate a discussion of the proposed tuition and fee increase. This item was discussed at the Board of Regents quarterly meeting on September 12, 2025 as a discussion only item. The Chair may ask for any general comments or feedback that members may have received from their respective institution's student leadership.

Chair Rodriguez-Serrano opens the floor to discussion.

Lopez states that students were beginning to understand this proposed fee increase when he presented this item to his council.

Aglubat states that ASUN's undergraduate population has not issued an official statement yet. Aglubat states that they will be able to gauge the student body's perspective after UNR's Chancellor's listening session.

Tavares states that UNR ASUNSOM understands the fees and increase in tuition. Tavares mentions that an issue regarding general fee increases, especially for medical students, is how students can afford them. Tavares mentions a \$200,000 cap on fees and states that medical school in Nevada could cost over \$250,000.

Aglubat asks the NSA how many have written resolutions on this, and recommends that when writing resolutions, institution names and needs should be specified.

Lopez references Tavares' points, stating that UNLV's law school is facing the same problem. Lopez states he will bring these concerns up to the ad hoc committee he serves on.

Vice Chair Pearce states that more fees are being added to NSU's student body, stating that this proposed tuition fee is concerning to the student body due to the several fees being added onto student payments.

Aglubat states that the NSA must be strategic and play politics in this situation. Aglubat states that these fee increases will occur regardless of the NSA's opinion, and that the Regents understand the necessity of increasing these fees. Aglubat states that the NSA must participate in these conversations and discuss how we can make this feasible for both sides of the student body and the institution to pay for the quality of education.

Hone agrees with Aglubat and adds that there were five proposed fee increases for CSN alone. Hone emphasizes that the NSA must remain in these conversations.

Aglubat states that because individual institutions are having fee increases, this is an area of negotiation where the NSA may find more leeway, as institution presidents could recommend which items to present to the Board.

Lopez states that President Heavey has said UNLV will have no new fees introduced this year. Lopez adds that the proposal is for a three-year phased-in increase in tuition, and that the legislative session begins in 2027. Lopez asks whether the NSA thinks it would be helpful if students on the ad hoc committee could ask for a two-year phased-in approach.

Aglubat states that the state legislature wants us to demonstrate our commitment to fulfilling our role in paying for higher education. Aglubat states that the legislature would likely prefer a several-year plan over a two-year plan.

Odunze states that it would look bad on NSHE if a two-year approach was utilized. Odunze adds that the economy is not in a good spot, and that it is unfortunate that the state might not want to provide additional funding.

10. MEMBER UPDATES

INFORMATION ONLY

Members may provide an update regarding their respective campuses' planned activities and

events.

Vice Chair Pearce states that NSU NSSA has concluded their Spirit Week, Scorpion Kickoff, and Scorpion Calling events. Pearce states that one of her goals upon becoming President was to add semester scholarships, and that two will be offered in the upcoming semesters. Pearce also states that NSU NSSU hosted Chancellor McNair for the Chancellor Listening Session and held a Chancellor Challenge event afterward. Pearce states that NSU's President has not yet been approved, but she is looking forward to it at the upcoming Board of Regents' special meeting. Pearce states that eight new senators were added to the student government team and that a new advisor was added.

Secretary Malasaga reports that TMCC SGA has been actively engaging the campus community through several events, including Coffee with a Cop, a Blood Drive, Fall Fest, and a Disability Resource Center Fair. Malasaga states that TMCC SGA is preparing for Chancellor McNair's listening session and encourages participation.

Aglubat states that ASUN is preparing for the upcoming Chancellor's visit and anticipates this will be a high-profile meeting. Aglubat states that they have also been engaging in conversations with the university regarding the protection of legal and beneficial programs for the student body. Aglubat adds that they have also started the ASUN internship program, with about sixty to seventy current ASUN interns. UNR's Homecoming is also coming up, alongside school spirit revival. In addition, UNR's IDEA department hosted several collaboration events throughout the campus. Notes for the legislative session are being prepared, and more conversations are occurring with elected officials.

Chinnappan reports that over the past month, UNR GSA has continued engaging in significant discussions on behalf of the graduate student community. UNR GSA hosted Chancellor McNair and Chief Viton at the most recent GSA council meeting. UNR GSA has also been actively preparing for its fall graduate research symposium alongside its campuswide clothing drive.

Tavares states that UNR ASOM hosted its accreditation site visit, during which UNR's medical school's programming, curriculum, student affairs, and other aspects were evaluated to determine whether it would retain accreditation as a medical school. UNR ASOM states that they are emphasizing work on mental health and wellness. UNR ASOM is also restructuring its student government, and the second years are revising its constitution. A \$4 million grant was also awarded to UNR's medical school for medical education for the tribal homeless and rural populations.

Hone reports that CSN ASCSN hosted successful welcome-back events across all three main campuses, with strong turnout among new and returning students. The event focused on community, inclusivity, and student support. CSN ASCSN is also planning a trunk-or-treat event for students, their families, and the community. In light of the fee increases, CSN ASCSN is hosting open forums to inform students about the changes with fees, allowing transparency and a space to share concerns.

Lopez states that UNLV GPSA has been focused on big-picture items with NSHE. Lopez adds that their CFO and Vice President of Government and Community Engagement made an offer to UNLV GPSA to get their legislative priorities onto UNLV's plan. Two major

priorities were collective bargaining for graduate students and short-term housing relief for graduate students. UNLV GPSA is also preparing a research forum for its graduate and professional students.

Odunze states that UNLV CSUN has funded over 100 RSOs and awarded \$600,000 in funding. UNLV CSUN has also passed a CSUN protection and hydration sponsorship. UNLV CSUN also wrapped up their elections, with over 800 student votes. UNLV CSUN also hosted a basic needs drive, an annual window-painting event, a Rebel Variety Show, an elementary school event, and a festival.

Salas states that WNC ASWN has had a significant impact on student engagement, hosting Constitution Day, WNC's mascot's birthday, October Fest, and a Coffee with a Cop event. Many departments collaborated and engaged students in conversation. WNC ASWN has also completed its student government remodel, which has created a more functional space for students and meetings. WNC ASWN has also hosted an open forum on student registration fees and has collected student feedback and will be walking in Carson City's Nevada Day parade.

Chair Rodriguez-Serrano states that all of GBC's campuses are hosting events for Hispanic Heritage Month. Some GBC SGA officers are also attending a leadership conference in Washington, D.C. Student fees are a topic of current discussion, as proposals to increase them are being considered.

11. NSA CHAIR UPDATE

INFORMATION ONLY

NSA Chair may provide an update on any projects or tasks she is currently working on that pertain to NSA business.

Chair Rodriguez-Serrano waives the item.

12. NEW BUSINESS

INFORMATION ONLY

Items for consideration at future meetings may be suggested. Any discussion of an item under "New Business" is limited to description and clarification of the subject matter of the item, which may include the reasons for the request, and no substantive discussion may occur at this meeting on new business items in accordance with the Nevada Open Meeting Law (NRS 241.010 et seq.).

Chair Rodriguez-Serrano waives the item, asking NSA members to email new business items.

13. PUBLIC COMMENT

INFORMATION ONLY

Public comment will be taken during this agenda item. No action may be taken on a matter raisedunder this item until the matter is included on an agenda as an item on which action may be taken. Comments will be limited to two minutes per person. Persons making comment will be

asked to begin by stating their name for the record and to spell their last name. The NSA Chair may elect to allow additional public comment on a specific agenda item when that agenda item is being considered.

Pursuant to NRS 241.023, members of the public may also participate in the meeting by submitting written public comment messages via email to asalisbury@nshe.nevada.edu. Written statements received by 4:30 p.m. on THURSDAY OCTOBER 09, 2025, will be distributed to NSA members and included in the permanent meeting record. Names of those who provide public comment via email will be announced at the meeting; however messages will no longer be read into the record. Members of the public may attend the meeting and provide public Comment.

In accordance with Attorney General Opinion No. 00-047 (April 27, 2001), as restated in the Attorney General's Open Meeting Law Manual, the NSA Chair may prohibit comment if the content of that comment is a topic that is not relevant to, or within the authority of, the NSA, or if the content is willfully disruptive of the meeting by being irrelevant, repetitious, slanderous, offensive, inflammatory, irrational or amounting to personal attacks or interfering with the rightsof other speakers.

No public comment.

Adjourn at 11:02 A.M.

Posted at the following locations:

CSN, Building D, 1st Floor, 6375 W. Charleston Blvd., Las Vegas, NV 89146-1124

DRI, Maxey Building, 2215 Raggio Parkway, Reno, NV 89512-1095

DRI, Southern Nevada Science Center, 755 E. Flamingo Road, Las Vegas, NV 89119-7363

GBC, Berg Hall, 1500 College Parkway, Elko, NV 89801

NSC, Great Hall, 1125 Nevada State Drive, Henderson, NV 89015

TMCC, Red Mountain Building (RDMT 200) 7000 Dandini Blvd. Reno, NV 89512

UNLV, Flora Dungan Humanities 9 (FDH), 1st and 7th Floors, 4505 Maryland Parkway, Las Vegas, NV 89154-1001

UNR, Clark Administration, University of Nevada, Reno, Reno, NV 89557

WNC, Bristlecone Building Lobby, 2201 W. College Parkway, Carson City, NV 89703

System Administration, 4300 S. Maryland Parkway, Las Vegas, NV 89119-7530

System Administration, 2601 Enterprise Road, Reno, NV 89512

Nevada Public Notice Website Pursuant to NRS 232.2175 - https://notice.nv.gov/

 $NSHE\ Website\ \underline{https://nshe.nevada.edu/system-administration/departments/asa/students/nevada-\underline{student-alliance/}}$